```
1
                         BEFORE THE
                 ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
 2
   IN THE MATTER OF:
 3
   ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE
 4 COMPANY, INC.
 5
                                   )No. 08-0105
                                        Status
 6 GLOBAL NAPS ILLINOIS, INC.
 7 Complaint pursuant to Section
   252(e) of the Federal
 8 Telecommunications Act of 1996,)
   47 U.S.C. Sections 252(e), and )
9 Sections 4-101, 10-101, and
   10-108 of the Illinois Public
10 Utilities Act, 220 ILCS 5/4-101)
   220 ILCS 5/10-101, and 220 ILCS)
11 5/10-108.
                                   )
12
                               Chicago, Illinois
13
                               February 27, 2008
            Met pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m.
14
15 BEFORE:
       MS. EVE MORAN, Administrative Law Judge.
16
17
18 APPEARANCES:
       MAYER BROWN, LLP, by
19
       MR. CHRISTIAN BINNIG and
       MS. DIANA ANDSAGER
       71 South Wacker Drive
20
       Chicago, Illinois 60606
         appeared for the Illinois
21
         Bell Telephone Company, Inc.;
22
```

```
1 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd.)
2
       GLOOR LAW GROUP, by
       MR. PHILIP J. FOWLER and
3
       MR. DANIEL P. JACKSON
       225 West Wacker Drive
4
       Chicago, Illinois 60606
         appeared for Global NAPs, Inc.;
5
6
       MR. JAMES SCHELTEMA
       4475 Woodbine Road
7
       Pace, Florida 32571
         appeared for Global NAPS, Inc.;
8
9
       MR. MICHAEL L. HARVEY
       160 North LaSalle Street
10
       Chicago, Illinois 60601
         appeared for Commission Staff.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
   SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
20 Teresann B. Giorgi, CSR
21
22
```

1		$\overline{I}$ $\overline{N}$ $\overline{D}$	<u>E</u> <u>X</u>			
2	Witness	D.:	<b>G</b>	Re-	Re-	By
3	Witnesses:	Dir.	Crx.	<u>air.</u>	crx.	Examiner
4	NONE					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10		<u>E</u> <u>X</u>	<u>H</u> <u>I</u> <u>B</u>	<u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>		
11	Number	For I	dentif	icatio	<u>n</u> <u>I</u> :	n Evidence
12	NONE					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
44						

- 1 JUDGE MORAN: Pursuant to the direction of
- 2 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I call
- 3 Docket 08-0105. This is Illinois Bell Telephone
- 4 Company, Inc., versus Global NAP, all caps, small s,
- 5 Illinois, Inc.
- 6 May I have the appearances for the
- 7 record, please.
- 8 MR. FOWLER: Yes.
- 9 On behalf of Global NAPs Illinois,
- 10 Inc., it's Phil Fowler and Dan Jackson from the
- 11 Gloor Law Group, and Jim Scheltema from Global NAPs
- 12 itself.
- 13 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 14 And you're address and telephone
- 15 number?
- 16 MR. FOWLER: Yes. The Gloor Law Group is at
- 17 225 West Wacker Drive, Suite 1700, Chicago, Illinois
- 18 60606. Our telephone number is 312-752-3700.
- Judge, this morning we'd ask leave to
- 20 file our appearances. We'd also ask leave to file
- 21 two motions for pro hac admissions, one for
- 22 Mr. Scheltema and one for Eric Osterberg from the

- 1 Dreier Law Group. Both states have reciprocity. We
- 2 have written motions, we ask leave to file today, as
- 3 well.
- I don't think Illinois Bell has any
- 5 objection.
- 6 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. And as I understand it for
- 7 those pro hac vice, the main standard set out in the
- 8 law for this Commission is that there be reciprocity
- 9 from the other jurisdiction.
- 10 So on that basis and hearing no
- 11 objection from any parties, or Staff, that will be
- 12 allowed. You certainly don't need to ask me for
- 13 leave to file an appearance. You will be doing
- 14 that, however, in accordance with the Clerk's Office
- 15 requirements and service to the parties.
- 16 MR. FOWLER: The only reason we asked this,
- 17 we've requested this is --
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: I understand. You just want to be
- 19 able to speak today --
- 20 MR. FOWLER: Right.
- 21 JUDGE MORAN: -- and given the fact your
- 22 appearances were not entered, you were a little

- 1 worried.
- 2 MR. FOWLER: Correct.
- 3 MR. BINNIG: Your Honor, good morning.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: Yes.
- 5 MR. BINNIG: Christian F. Binnig from Mayer
- 6 Brown, LLP, 71 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois
- 7 60606, appearing on behalf of Illinois Bell
- 8 Telephone Company.
- 9 JUDGE MORAN: Thank you. And?
- 10 MS. ANDSAGER: I'm Diana Andsager,
- 11 A-n-d-s-a-g-e-r, also of Mayer Brown.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: And same address and same
- 13 telephone number, I assume.
- MS. ANDSAGER: Yes.
- 15 JUDGE MORAN: Thank you.
- MR. HARVEY: Appearing for the Staff of the
- 17 Illinois Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey, 160
- 18 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois
- 19 60601-3104.
- JUDGE MORAN: Thank you.
- 21 With that, let the record reflect that
- 22 there are no other appearances.

- 1 We have this complaint. Have the
- 2 parties at all, or anybody in this room talked about
- 3 how they want to proceed with this case?
- 4 MR. BINNIG: Well, your Honor, we did come here
- 5 with a schedule. We've given Mr. Harvey and Counsel
- 6 for Global NAPs a copy of the schedule this morning.
- 7 The schedule assumes that we would
- 8 request today, pursuant to 83 Illinois
- 9 Administrative Code 200.180(a) of the Commission's
- 10 Rules of Practice, that you issue a ruling requiring
- 11 Global NAPs Illinois, Inc., to answer. And I
- 12 believe under the rules they would have 21 days to
- 13 do that.
- 14 JUDGE MORAN: I always think that answers are a
- 15 good idea.
- MR. BINNIG: We would propose a schedule that
- 17 would have AT&T --
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: Do you have a copy of the schedule
- 19 for the --
- 20 MR. BINNIG: I do.
- 21 JUDGE MORAN: I like to follow along with the
- 22 big guys.

- 1 MR. BINNIG: (Indicating.)
- JUDGE MORAN: Thank you.
- 3 MR. BINNIG: It's the bottom schedule, your
- 4 Honor.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. The alternative schedule?
- 6 MR. BINNIG: Yes.
- 7 And AT&T would file its direct
- 8 testimony April 18th.
- 9 GNAPs and Staff would file their
- 10 response testimony May 16th.
- 11 Before that -- you know, I don't
- 12 expect we'll have discovery disputes. If either
- 13 Staff or Global NAPs believes that they need any
- 14 discovery beyond that, that's already been served in
- 15 a federal court case, for example, and been
- 16 responded to there, that could be done starting
- 17 today.
- Our reply testimony would be served
- 19 June 6th.
- 20 Hearing two days at the end of June,
- 21 subject to your availability, then, your Honor.
- 22 Initial briefs July 16th.

- 1 Reply briefs August 8th.
- 2 Again, subject to your Honor's
- 3 schedule, your proposed order September 5th.
- 4 Exceptions September 19th.
- 5 And replies on exceptions
- 6 September 29th.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 8 Anybody have any thoughts about this
- 9 schedule?
- 10 MR. FOWLER: We just got this morning.
- 11 Mr. Scheltema has had some discussion.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: Would you guys like to talk about
- 13 it outside of my presence?
- MR. SCHELTEMA: Well, we've been going over it
- 15 just a little bit.
- 16 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 17 MR. SCHELTEMA: Both, we did try -- both parties
- 18 tried to communicate but, apparently, there was --
- 19 this was with Mr. Osterberg, there was some sort of
- 20 conflict. Each party has tried to do its part to
- 21 avoid this situation.
- That being said, my understanding was

- 1 that the traditional case at the ICC takes about
- 2 11 months.
- 3 JUDGE MORAN: Well, it all depends on what kind
- 4 of case.
- 5 MR. SCHELTEMA: Right.
- 6 JUDGE MORAN: A rate case takes 11 months. It
- 7 has to be done by 11 months.
- A complaint case takes a year. But
- 9 I'm not sure what nature this is exactly.
- 10 And, Mr. Harvey, do you --
- 11 MR. HARVEY: I think that's correct. It's my
- 12 understanding that this is a complaint brought under
- 13 Section 10.108 and the Commission would be required
- 14 to act by, whatever, February 14th, 2009, I guess.
- 15 This clearly does that.
- MR. SCHELTEMA: With that said, we have come
- 17 from federal court. There has been some discovery,
- 18 a great deal of discovery, already levied between
- 19 the parties. There's been some depositions and so
- 20 forth. But we've changed counsel. Counsel has no
- 21 idea what the volume of discovery is and -- I mean,
- 22 they're jumping into it sort of midstream.

- 1 And to be quite honest with you, I
- 2 know some, but not anywhere near what I should for
- 3 this particular case. And the case is such that, it
- 4 threatens our ability to even continue in business.
- 5 So it's not just a fine kind of a
- 6 case. They've actually asked to withdraw are CPCN
- 7 and eliminate us as a competitor, period.
- 8 So to the extent that I can have a
- 9 realistic schedule to, at least, examine, get a hand
- 10 around the discovery, find out what gaps I have to
- 11 fill in, it would be welcomed.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: Then, what are your needs? What
- 13 do you need?
- 14 MR. SCHELTEMA: Well, let's see. I'd probably
- 15 need somewhere between 45 and 60 days for these guys
- 16 to go through the volume of papers we have. It's
- 17 rather incredible.
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- 19 MR. SCHELTEMA: And then we'd probably need --
- 20 Mr. Vineck (phonetic) has volunteered that to the
- 21 extent that there's any discovery, that I'd want to
- 22 still let him have a turnaround time of 14 days, two

- 1 weeks.
- JUDGE MORAN: Okay. So how can we work your
- 3 needs into this schedule?
- 4 Today is what, the 27th?
- 5 MR. SCHELTEMA: I'm thinking that what I'd need
- 6 is probably 45 or 60 days prior to when --
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: Now, remember, AT&T can -- since
- 8 they're going first, they can file their direct
- 9 testimony, you can still be looking for your --
- 10 through your stuff for the stuff that you need.
- 11 MR. SCHELTEMA: Yes.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: And you --
- 13 MR. SCHELTEMA: There's also -- when you look at
- 14 it, it says that this is essentially the only case
- 15 going on and the only jurisdiction, and you'll be
- 16 ready for a hearing in June. And, frankly, we have
- 17 a lot of cases between big brother here, and we're
- 18 just out gunned. And I can't maintain, much less
- 19 tread water or do a good job, if I have to juggle by
- 20 late June.
- 21 JUDGE MORAN: I understand.
- So you really want to push the whole

- 1 thing back.
- 2 MR. BINNIG: Well, your Honor, how about this
- 3 for a suggestion.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: Sure.
- 5 MR. BINNIG: The May 16th date is for their
- 6 testimony, that already gives them 80 days from
- 7 today. We'd be willing to push back everything on
- 8 the schedule by about two weeks. But beyond that,
- 9 we don't think is a reasonable time period.
- 10 Notwithstanding Mr. Scheltema's protestation, Global
- 11 NAPs has many lawyers at their disposal. They've
- 12 been involved in proceedings involving these issues
- 13 for many, many years. And this is a story that we
- 14 hear repeatedly to delay reaching the merits. We
- 15 are very interested in reaching the merits as soon
- 16 as possible.
- 17 MR. SCHELTEMA: Can I suggest something?
- 18 Mr. Harvey said that the deadline is
- 19 February. The suggested schedule here is the end of
- 20 September. Cut the difference and we've got to the
- 21 end of November.
- JUDGE MORAN: Well, I think if we'd be pushing

- 1 most of these dates back two weeks, we'd probably be
- 2 at the end of November, wouldn't we?
- 3 MR. BINNIG: By the time we get to the
- 4 Commission for them to consider, yes.
- 5 MR. HARVEY: Yeah, I mean, if we have a --
- 6 JUDGE MORAN: I mean, how many events do you
- 7 have here? 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9 times 2 is
- 8 18. So that's 18 weeks, right?
- 9 MR. HARVEY: Well, no, not really, because -- I
- 10 think what -- you're sort of adding two weeks
- 11 generally. We're not adding two weeks to each
- 12 event.
- 13 MR. BINNIG: Right. It would be an extension of
- 14 two weeks total on the schedule, which would have --
- 15 MR. HARVEY: The second week of October.
- 16 MR. BINNIG: It would be the middle of October
- 17 for replies on exceptions. So by the time that
- 18 something was presented for the Commission to
- 19 consider at hearing, we're probably in the beginning
- 20 of November.
- 21 MR. HARVEY: Depending on how the
- 22 post-exceptions' order would work out.

- 1 MR. BINNIG: Right.
- 2 MR. HARVEY: But certainly if our exceptions
- 3 were in the middle of October, you know, you'd
- 4 almost have to get the proposed order done that day
- 5 to even get it into the first meeting in November,
- 6 because of the lockdowns.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: Right.
- 8 MR. FOWLER: The difficulty for us, and I speak
- 9 for Eric Osterberg, is to try to get out response
- 10 testimony, figure out discovery and get that done by
- 11 May, it would be near impossible given the
- 12 voluminous materials that we've got to go through.
- 13 JUDGE MORAN: So how about we put the hearing at
- 14 the end of July?
- 15 MR. SCHELTEMA: That's better than the end of
- 16 June, your Honor.
- 17 JUDGE MORAN: All right.
- 18 MR. HARVEY: Okay. So we're looking at the end
- 19 of July?
- JUDGE MORAN: The 28th, 29th of July.
- 21 MR. HARVEY: The 28th is a Monday and the 29th a
- 22 Tuesday.

- 1 JUDGE MORAN: Is that good for everybody, the
- 2 28th and 29th?
- 3 MR. SCHELTEMA: Yes.
- 4 JUDGE MORAN: 28th, 29th, and we'll leave the
- 5 30th as a backup for additional data, if we need it.
- 6 Okay?
- 7 Now, that leaves -- I'll make that the
- 8 starting point. And then I'm going to ask that all
- 9 of you discuss how you want to do the dates now
- 10 going backward from that date.
- 11 MR. SCHELTEMA: That's fine.
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: And going forward for the date,
- 13 for the different functions.
- MR. BINNIG: Here's what I propose, off the top
- 15 of my head.
- They're essentially moving the hearing
- 17 back slightly more than a month?
- 18 JUDGE MORAN: Right.
- 19 MR. BINNIG: So I would suggest moving back the
- 20 dates for testimony by a month.
- 21 So AT&T's testimony would be May 18th.
- 22 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.

- 1 MR. BINNIG: GNAPs and Staff testimony would be,
- 2 assuming it falls on a weekday, June 16th.
- 3 MR. HARVEY: June 16th does fall on a weekday.
- 4 However, May 18th does not. It's a Sunday.
- 5 MR. BINNIG: Make it May 19th for AT&T direct --
- 6 Illinois Bell.
- 7 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. May 19th.
- 8 MR. BINNIG: Then Illinois Bell reply testimony
- 9 would be --
- 10 MR. HARVEY: July 6th, perhaps, which is also a
- 11 Sunday.
- 12 MR. BINNIG: July 7th?
- MR. SCHELTEMA: Yeah, make it the 7th, it's the
- 14 4th of July holiday. How about if we make it on the
- 15 8th, actually?
- 16 MR. BINNIG: That's fine with -- that means my
- 17 client won't hate me.
- 18 MR. SCHELTEMA: Is that better for you, Matt?
- 19 MR. HARVEY: That's fine.
- 20 MR. BINNIG: July 8th for our reply. And
- 21 hearings, 28th, 29th and 30th as a backup.
- I guess initial briefs, we're looking

- 1 at three weeks for initial briefs, August 22nd?
- 2 MR. HARVEY: 22nd, that's a Friday.
- 3 MR. BINNIG: Say, two weeks for replies. So
- 4 replies, September 5th?
- 5 MR. HARVEY: September 5th.
- 6 JUDGE MORAN: When's Labor Day, Matt?
- 7 MR. HARVEY: September 1st.
- 8 MR. SCHELTEMA: Can we flip that reply out to
- 9 the 10th, because reply briefs are usually very
- 10 difficult.
- 11 JUDGE MORAN: Okay.
- MR. BINNIG: September 10th?
- MR. HARVEY: It's a Wednesday.
- MR. BINNIG: How about the 8th?
- MR. SCHELTEMA: All that does is mean that I
- 16 work on Saturday and Sunday, instead of giving it to
- 17 you on that Friday.
- 18 MR. BINNIG: Right.
- 19 MR. SCHELTEMA: Yeah, I know, but come on, I
- 20 gave you a couple days here so you wouldn't mess up
- 21 the July 4th weekend.
- JUDGE MORAN: All right. We'll split the

- 1 difference, and it will be September 9th.
- 2 MR. HARVEY: Okay. The proposed order would be
- 3 October 8th or 9th, and keeping in mind that the 9th
- 4 is Yom Kippur, for anybody that is observant.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: Okay. We'll put it at October
- 6 8th.
- 7 MR. BINNIG: I would say two weeks for
- 8 exceptions and a week or ten days for reply.
- 9 MR. HARVEY: October 8th -- that would be
- 10 October 22 for exceptions.
- 11 MR. SCHELTEMA: Ten days for reply would be --
- 12 MR. BINNIG: November 2nd.
- MR. HARVEY: Monday is the 3rd.
- JUDGE MORAN: And then hopefully I'll get the PO
- 15 out before Thanksgiving.
- Matt, when is Thanksgiving this year?
- 17 What date?
- 18 MR. HARVEY: Thanksgiving is the -- I think it's
- 19 the 27th. It's very late this year. Yeah, it's the
- 20 27th.
- The one thing I notice we don't have
- 22 here is a date for GNAPs to answer otherwise plead,

- 1 is that contemplated by the parties?
- 2 MR. BINNIG: Well, I think, your Honor should
- 3 rule today that they should file an answer, then
- 4 they've got 21 days to answer.
- 5 JUDGE MORAN: You will be filing an answer. I
- 6 think I indicated that earlier.
- 7 MR. SCHELTEMA: 21 days from today, which is --
- 8 MR. HARVEY: 21 days from today is 19 March.
- 9 MR. SCHELTEMA: March 19th?
- 10 MR. HARVEY: Yes, that's correct.
- 11 JUDGE MORAN: So we have -- let me review this
- 12 with you.
- We have AT&T's direct testimony,
- 14 May 19th.
- 15 MR. SCHELTEMA: Correct.
- JUDGE MORAN: Global's and Staff's response
- 17 testimony June what? I don't have a date --
- 18 MR. HARVEY: June 16th.
- 19 JUDGE MORAN: Thank you.
- 20 MR. HARVEY: Replies on July 8 -- reply
- 21 testimony of AT&T, July 8th.
- JUDGE MORAN: Hearings, July 28th and 29th, also

- 1 reserving 30th as a potential backup date, just in
- 2 case one of those other dates falls apart.
- We will then have initial briefs by
- 4 the parties and Staff on August 22nd.
- 5 Reply briefs on September 9th.
- It is expected that the proposed order
- 7 will be out October 8th.
- 8 Exceptions will then be due
- 9 October 22nd.
- 10 And replies to exceptions on
- 11 November 2nd.
- 12 MR. BINNIG: November 3rd.
- 13 JUDGE MORAN: I'm sorry.
- 14 MR. BINNIG: The 2nd, I believe, is a Sunday.
- 15 JUDGE MORAN: I'm glad we went through this.
- November 3rd.
- Okay. And believe me, I'll try to
- 18 keep that schedule because I do want to get this out
- 19 before Thanksgiving.
- 20 Okay. Is there anything else we need
- 21 to discuss this morning, at all?
- MR. FOWLER: Do you need a draft order for those

- 1 pro hac admissions?
- JUDGE MORAN: The what?
- 3 MR. FOWLER: The admissions of the attorneys
- 4 pro hac vice, do you need a draft order from them?
- JUDGE MORAN: No, I don't, because all I'd be
- 6 doing is -- I've already made my rulings for that on
- 7 the record.
- 8 MR. HARVEY: You would certainly be the first
- 9 person ever to have done so.
- 10 MR. FOWLER: Well, it's my first time here. So
- 11 I just want to make sure --
- 12 JUDGE MORAN: But this should give you all ideas
- 13 about these draft orders.
- Okay, with that, we're going to
- 15 continue this case to July 28th, 2008. And what
- 16 time, gentlemen?
- MR. HARVEY: 9:30 or 10:00 is fine with Staff,
- 18 your Honor. It's up to the parties, really.
- 19 MR. BINNIG: That's fine with Illinois Bell.
- 20 JUDGE MORAN: 9:30 or 10:00? I have to put a
- 21 time in.
- MR. SCHELTEMA: 10:00. That way maybe we can

```
1 gab with each other before --
2
      MR. BINNIG: 10:00 is fine, your Honor.
3
      MR. HARVEY: 10:00 is fine.
       JUDGE MORAN: Very good.
4
5
                 Thank you so much.
6
       MR. HARVEY: Thank you, your Honor.
7
                          (Whereupon, the hearing in
                           the above-entitled matter
8
9
                           was continued to July 28,
                           2008.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```